Asset Management Ontario *Distinguished Asset Management Plan Awards*

Evaluation Criteria

The following criteria will be used to assess all plans submitted for consideration for the *Distinguished Asset Management Plan Awards.*

The evaluation framework comprises 11 core categories, which are further divided into 39 distinct sub-categories. Each sub-category will be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 representing the highest level of achievement.

Please reach out to ampawards@amontario.ca with any questions.

A. **Executive Summary / Introduction**
   1. The purpose, scope, benefits of the asset management (AM) system are identified
   2. Reference to Strategic AM Policy
   3. Provides a summary of the AMP with recommendations

B. **Structure Clarity and Strategic Alignment**
   1. Clear structure and navigation, including table of contents
   2. Readability and clarity
   3. Effective use of visuals
   4. Strategic alignment and line of sight

C. **State of Infrastructure**
   1. Evidence of complete AM inventory with good data governance
   2. Evidence of defined asset hierarchies
   3. Identification of data gaps, confidence levels and/or improvement plan

D. **Levels of Service (LOS)**
   1. Description/outline of levels of service strategy
   2. Community vs. Technical LOS documented
   3. Current vs. target LOS defined for each asset area are documented
   4. LOS metrics provided for all service areas with future trends

E. **Lifecycle Management Strategy**
   1. Lifecycle management strategy explained or presented
   2. Analysis and scenario approach explained or provided
   3. Integration of service levels into asset lifecycle management
   4. Applicable reference of growth impacts

F. **Risk Management Strategy**
   1. Explanation of risk management strategy
   2. Risk management process and integration into decision making
   3. Risk measures related to service areas

G. **Financing Strategy**
   1. Financing strategy is explained
   2. Funding sources identified with impact analysis / continuity
   3. Funding shortfall / infrastructure gap is presented
   4. Funding and utility / tax rate recommendations

H. **Actionable Recommendations**
   1 AMP recommendations provided that are realistic / achievable
   2 Linkages to when recommendations are to be considered (i.e., budget)

I. **Continuous Improvement**
   1 AM maturity assessment references and progress
   2 AM Roadmap or improvements plan
   3 Reference to climate change considerations
   4 Reference to diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) considerations

J. **Systems, Tools, and Integration**
   1 Discussion regarding AM integration into the organization
   2 Discussion regarding AM integration into other processes / documents
   3 Discussion regarding use of systems / tools

K. **Ownership, Approval, and Support**
   1 AM Governance Structure (formal and informal)
   2 Council approval / endorsement of the AMP
   3 Council support of AM planning processes provided
   4 Public E\engagement strategy and steps
   5 Evidence of AMP informing budget and planning